

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMAUL LEE BAKER,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUPERIOR COURTS OF CALIFORNIA,  
et al.

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-00476-DC-JDP (HC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

(Doc. Nos. 19, 21)

Petitioner Jamaul Lee Baker, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On February 14, 2025, the court issued an order dismissing the petition for writ of habeas corpus without prejudice. (Doc. Nos. 16, 17.) On August 25, 2025, Petitioner filed a motion styled as a “request for recall of sentence and resentencing” seeking to recall his sentence under state law. (Doc. No. 19.) On September 2, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that Petitioner’s motion be denied. (Doc. No. 21.) The pending findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 2.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.


1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a  
2 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the  
3 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4 Accordingly,

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 2, 2025 (Doc. No. 21) are  
6 ADOPTED in full;
- 7 2. Petitioner's motion to recall sentence (Doc. No. 19) is DENIED; and
- 8 3. This case shall remain closed.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: November 25, 2025

11   
12 Dena Coggins  
13 United States District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28